PATENT COOPERATION TREATMENT PCT

	REC'D	0 9	MAR	2005
ı	WIPO			POT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT (PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference				FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Notification	n of Transmittal of International
4-32761AUNZ						Preliminary Ex	amination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
				International filing date 09.12.2003	(day/mon	th/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10.12.2002
1	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C07K16/00						
00,		,,					
A			····				
Appli		'IS A	G et al.				
							·
1.	This International preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.						
2.	This	REP	ORT consists of a total of	of 8 sheets, including the	nis covei	sheet.	
		This	ranort is also accompar	aied by ANNEYES I a	obooto e	of the decement	
	_	bee	n amended and are the leading and Section	pasis for this report and	l <i>l</i> or shee	ts containing r	on, claims and/or drawings which have ectifications made before this Authority
	Tha				ive instr	uctions under t	the PC1).
	ines	se an	nexes consist of a total o	ot sheets.			
	·			<u> </u>			
				•			
3.	This	repo	rt contains indications re	lating to the following it	ems:		
	i	\boxtimes	Basis of the opinion				
	П		Priority				
	Ш	\boxtimes	Non-establishment of	opinion with regard to n	ovelty, i	nventive step a	and industrial applicability
	IV		Lack of unity of inventi	on			
	٧	×	Reasoned statement u citations and explanati	nder Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wons supporting such st	ith regar atement	d to novelty, in	ventive step or industrial applicability;
	VI		Certain documents cite	ed			
	VII		Certain defects in the i	nternational application	1		
	VIII		Certain observations o	n the international app	lication		
<u> </u>							
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of	completion of th	ils report
02.06.2004			10.03	.2005			
Nam prelii	Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:				Authorized Officer		
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas				as	Le Fla	ao, K	
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016			Teleph	one No. +31 70 3	340-1040		
Company of the compan							

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/13960

1.	Basis	of the	report
••		O1 1110	I CDUIL

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	Description, Pages						
	1-39		as originally filed				
	Sequence listings part of the description, Pages						
	41-	116	as originally filed				
	Cla	ims, Numbers					
	1-1	8	as originally filed				
	Drawings, Sheets						
	1		as originally filed				
2.	With regard to the language , all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	The	se elements were av	ailable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:				
		the language of a tra	unslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).				
☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).							
	the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).						
3.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:						
	×	contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.				
		filed together with the	e international application in computer readable form.				
		furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.				
	Ø	furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.				
	⊠	The statement that the international a	ne subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.				
	Ø	The statement that the listing has been furnitude.	ne information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence shed.				
4.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:				
		the description,	pages:				
		the claims,	Nos.:				
		the drawings,	sheets:				

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/13960

5	This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).						
		(Any replacement sheet con report.)	taining	such amen	dments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this		
6.	6. Additional observations, if necessary:						
111	III. Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
1.	The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non-obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
		the entire international applic	ation,				
	Ø	claims Nos. 18					
		because:					
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 18 (method of treatment) relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
		see separate sheet					
		the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):					
		the claims, or said claims Not could be formed.	s. are s	so inadequat	tely supported by the description that no meaningful opinion		
		no international search report	has b	een establis	hed for the said claims Nos.		
2.	A meaningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and or amino acid sequence listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:						
		the written form has not been	furnis	hed or does	not comply with the Standard.		
					hed or does not comply with the Standard.		
v.	V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement						
1.		tatement					
	Nov	Novelty (N)		Claims Claims	9-18 1-8		
	Inve	ntive step (IS)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-18		
	Indu	strial applicability (IA)	Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-17 18		
2.	Citat	ions and explanations					

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/13960

see separate sheet

International application No. PCT/EP 03/13960

Re Item III

Ŋ.

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claim 18 relates to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of this claim (Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Article 35 (2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: MERKLER D ET AL: "Locomotor recovery in spinal cord-injured rats treated with an antibody neutralizing the myelin-associated neurite growth inhibitor Nogo-A" JOURNAL OF NEUROSCIENCE, vol. 21, no. 10, 15 May 2001, pages 3665-3673, XP002293416 ISSN: 0270-6474
- D2: POT C ET AL: "Nogo-A expressed in Schwann cells impairs axonal regeneration after peripheral nerve injury" JOURNAL OF CELL BIOLOGY, vol. 159, no. 1, 14 October 2002, pages 29-35, XP002293417 ISSN: 0021-9525
- D3: RAINETEAU O ET AL: "Improved locomotor recovery in spinal cord injured rats treated with the monoclonal antibody IN-1" SOCIETY FOR NEUROSCIENCE ABSTRACTS, vol. 27, no. 2, 2001, page 1833, XP001199820
- D4: LI W ET AL: "NEUTRALIZATION OF MYELIN ASSOCIATED NOGO A BY A NOGO RECEPTOR Fc FUSION PROTEIN." SOCIETY FOR NEUROSCIENCE ABSTRACT VIEWER AND ITINERARY PLANNER, vol. 2002, 2002, pages Abstract No. 333.2 URL-http://sf, XP001199824 & 32ND ANNUAL MEETING OF THE SOCIETY FOR NEUROSCIENCE; ORLANDO, FLORIDA, USA; NOVEMBER 02-07, 2002
- D5: PAPADOPOULOS C ET AL: "Functional recovery and neuroanatomical plasticity following middle cerebral artery occlusion and IN-1 antibody treatment in the adult rat" ANNALS OF NEUROLOGY, vol. 51, no. 4, April 2002, pages 433-441, XP008034394 ISSN: 0364-5134

)

International application No. PCT/EP 03/13960

D1 and D3 disclose the treatment of adult rats having a dorsal overhemisection of the spinal cord with the monoclonal antibody (mAb) IN-1 raised against Nogo-A. Treatment with the antibody improved the functional recovery which results from a meaningful rewiring of the motor systems (see the abstracts). Results are consistent with earlier findings showing that IN-1 treatment after injuries of the adult CNS leads to functional benefits (D1, p.3670, lefthand column, 2nd §) and that the mAb IN-1 allows sprouting and reorganization of lesioned as well as unlesioned fibers in adult rats at a degree that is normally only observed after perinatal lesions (D1, p.3671, left-hand oclumn, last §).

D2 discloses the generation of transgenic mice expressing the rat Nogo-A gene in order to investigate in vivo the inhibitory characteristics of Nogo-A (p.29, left-hand column, 1st §). Postnatal expression of Nogo-A in Schwann cells results in a significant delay in axon regeneration in the denervated adult mouse sciatic nerve (p.33, left-hand column, last two lines - right-hand column, first lines). The inhibitory property of Nogo-A is demonstrated by enhanced regeneration and functional recovery of lesioned CNS tracts resulting from in vivo application of the mAb IN-1. Blockade of Nogo-A signaling by antibody is proposed for therapies of CNS injuries including spinal cord or brain trauma and stroke (p.33, right-hand column, 3rd §).

D4 discloses a recombinant Nogo receptor-Fc fusion protein which inhibits Nogo66 binding to the Nogo receptor and reverses the inhibitory effects of Nogo-A-containing CNS myelin thus disrupting the NogoA-NogoR interaction and promoting neurite growth in the presence of CNS myelin (abstract).

D5 discloses that following ischemic stroke and treatment with IN-1 adult rats demonstrated functional recovery (see the abstract).

NOVELTY & CLARITY

Because neither the feature "with a dissociation constant <1000nM" itself nor the proteins defined by this term are clear, the wordings of claim 1 have been interpreted as "a binding molecule which is capable of binding to the human NogoA or human NiG or human NiG-D20

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY International application No. PCT/EP 03/13960 EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

or NogoA_623-640". As a consequence it is considered that any antibody anti NogoA or any soluble Nogo receptor is anticipating the novelty of claim 1. Moreover in claims 2 & 3 a binding molecule is defined as comprising at least one antigen binding site being at least 50% homologous to given sequences (SEQ ID NO: 8-13). This wording does not allow a clear definition of a binding molecule. Claims 4-6 are also not clear because of the definition of a binding molecule only with sequences of CDR and because of the terms "direct equivalent thereof". As a consequence claim 1 is neither novel over the mAb IN-1 disclosed in documents D1, D2, D3 and D5 nor over the Nogo receptor-Fc fusion protein disclosed in D4 and claims 2-8 are not considered novel over the IN-1 antibody disclosed in D1, D2, D3 and D5. Claims 15-18 are not novel as far as they relate to any of claims 1-8.

Claim 9 relating to a binding molecule comprising SEQ ID NO: 2 & 3 and dependant claims 10-14 are novel over the mAb IN-1. The use and pharmaceutical composition claims 15-18 are novel as far as they relate to claim 9.

INVENTIVE STEP

Claim 9 is novel over the mAb IN-1 disclosed in D1 but this claim is not considered to involve an inventive step for the following reasons. Document D1 discloses the antibody IN-1 binding to the Nogo-A and its use in a treatment which improved the functional recovery of adult rats having a dorsal overhemisection of the spinal cord (see above).

Claim 9 is considered to relate to the mouse anti Nogo-A antibody 11C7 disclosed in the present application since SEQ ID NO:2 is the variable part of the heavy chain of 11C7 with leader sequence and SEQ ID NO:3 is the light chain of 11C7 with leader sequence. The antibody 11C7 differs from the IN-1 antibody by the fact it is a different antibody. The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as the provision of an alternative anti Nogo-A antibody. The solution appears to solve the problem posed but is not considered to involve an inventive step since it is a matter of routine to prepare antibodies and the positive properties of IN-1 as shown in D1 represent an incentive for the skilled person to develop other anti NogoA antibodies.

11C7 does not appear to have any particular property that would support the inventive step

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET

International application No. PCT/EP 03/13960

of claim 9. That 11C7 binds NogoA and also identifies human NiG, cynomolgus NiG cell lysate and rat NiG-D20 in western blot (see description, p.31) is not rendering 11C7 inventive over IN-1 since whether IN-1 identifies these proteins or not is not known. Moreover in the light of the examples it is not clear whether the feature "with a dissociation constant <1000nM" is supported by the description (example 7). Without clear experiment supporting that the antibody 11C7 has a particular and unexpected property no inventive step will be acknowledged to the antibody 11C7 of the present invention.

Because the antibody 11C7 is not considered as involving an inventive step the claims 10-14 and 15-18 as far as they relate to claim 9 are also not involving an inventive step.

INDUSTRIAL APPLICABILITY

For the assessment of the present claims 15, 16 and 18 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.